

Exhibit 64



FW: Westpoint - two questions and drawing comments

Ellen Miramontes <ellenm@bcdcc.ca.gov>

Fri, Oct 26, 2012 at 12:06 PM

To: Kevin Stephens <kstephens@kevinstephensdesign.com>

Cc: Mark Sanders <mark@westpointharbor.com>

Kevin and Mark,

Thank you for your emails and calls regarding the landscape plans. Here are my previous comments when we were last in communication. If you could send me a pdf of sheet L-2.5, I can then provide comments back regarding this signage plan (see comment below). Kevin, I will also try to contact you by phone today.

Regards,

--

Ellen Miramontes
Bay Design Analyst
SF Bay Conservation and Development Commission
50 California Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, California 94111

415-352-3643

<http://www.bcdcc.ca.gov/>

----- Forwarded Message

From: Ellen Miramontes <ellenm@bcdcc.ca.gov>**Date:** Mon, 10 Sep 2012 14:49:18 -0700**To:** Kevin Stephens <kstephens@kevinstephensdesign.com>, Silvia Robertson <vrobertson@kevinstephensdesign.com>**Cc:** Adrienne Klein <adriennek@bcdcc.ca.gov>**Conversation:** Westpoint - two questions and drawing comments**Subject:** Westpoint - two questions and drawing comments

Kevin,

Thank you for the various bits of information you have provided over the last few weeks for purposes of the amendment. I am trying to finalize the amendment in Adrienne's absence and wanted to clarify two items:

- In one email I received from Silvia the temporary fence is stated to be 2,755 LF and then in another email which I believe was from you it was stated to be 3,476 LF. Can you please clarify which number we should use.
- How would you best describe the "rocking" process in the eastern area? Would "install aggregate base" be synonymous? Or is there another way to describe this construction process that would be understandable to

the lay person?

I also wanted to provide some further feedback on the plans that you brought in on 8/24/12.

- As we discussed in person, we would prefer a 3-inch depth of decomposed granite rather than the 2-inch depth shown on the paving detail on Sheet D-1.02 in order that the path may be durable over time.
- Note: With plant nomenclature, the "Genus" is always capitalized and the "species" is always lower case.
- Proposed Planting Plan – Sheet 2.0: it would be helpful to have a legend with symbols shown for tree types indicated; more existing trees have been left in place than we discussed and was previously communicated (see attached plan with mark-ups); trees are still located in the view corridors (please label view corridors)
- Planting Plans – Sheets L-2.1-2.4: it would be helpful to have a simple legend on Sheets L-2.1-2.4 with the Planting Schemes A-G that are shown on Sheet S-2.7 (letter of scheme, a box with pattern, list of plants in scheme and reference to Sheet S-2.7)
- I have comments regarding the signage shown on Sheet L-2.5. If you could send me a PDF of this sheet, I could mark up and send back to you as this may be the easiest way to communicate my comments.
- Sheet L-2.6: Zone callouts are not readable – please adjust font and/or size.

Please let me know if you have questions about any of these comments.

Regards,

Ellen Miramontes
Bay Design Analyst
SF Bay Conservation and Development Commission
50 California Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, California 94111

415-352-3643
<http://www.bcdc.ca.gov/>

----- End of Forwarded Message

 **WestpointMarinaLandscapeEMcomments.pdf**
1368K

Kevin Stephens <kstephens@kevinstephensdesign.com>

Fri, Oct 26, 2012 at 12:41 PM

To: Ellen Miramontes <ellenm@bcdd.ca.gov>

Cc: Mark Sanders <mark@westpointharbor.com>, Brad McCrea <bradm@bcdd.ca.gov>, Adrienne Klein <adriennek@bcdd.ca.gov>

Thanks for getting back to us, Ellen. I've attached the PDF set. This set addresses all issues, you listed below, except changing the DG depth from 2"-3". We would lose the ability to effectively stabilize the DG in the future if there were to be erosion problems if we install a depth of over 2". No stabilizer product we are familiar with guarantees their product will stabilize properly if the DG is thicker than 2".

This set also includes the irrigation as-builts and proposed irrigation plans. Let me know if you have any questions or when you would like for us to submit a full size printed revised set with irrigation. Kevin.